

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,893	02/05/2004	Mark W. Espenscheid	208808.0005 8339	
35614	7590 04/13/2005		EXAMINER	
	F & WEAVER, LTD. VACKER DRIVE	NGUYEN, HOANG V		
	IL 60606-7507		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			DATE MAILED: 04/13/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					H.B			
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/773,89	93	ESPENSCHEID ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Hoang V.		2821				
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this commu eply	nication appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE MAIL - Extensions after SIX (6 - If the period - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD F LING DATE OF THIS COMMUN of time may be available under the provision i) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com d for reply specified above is less than thirty (d for reply is specified above, the maximum s eply within the set or extended period for repl eccived by the Office later than three months ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even munication. 30) days, a reply within the state tatutory period will apply and wi y will, by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply story minimum of thirty (30 Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS ication to become ABANE	be timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Res	sponsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
2a)☐ This	s action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action is n	on-final.					
3)☐ Sinc								
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of	of Claims							
4)⊠ Cla	im(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the	application.						
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,10 and 13-18</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>2,9 and 19</u> is/are rejected.							
7)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>11 and 12</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Cla	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application F	Papers							
9)∐ The	specification is objected to by the	ne Examiner.						
10)⊠ The	drawing(s) filed on 05 February	<u>′ 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ acc	cepted or b) obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
Арр	licant may not request that any obj	ection to the drawing(s) b	e held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
•	• '	· ·		is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	I).			
11)∐ The	oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner. No	ote the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) <u></u> A 1. ☐ 2. ☐	Certified copies of the priority	/ documents have bee / documents have bee	n received. n received in Appl	ication No				
3.	Copies of the certified copies application from the Internati			ceived in this National Stage				
* See t	the attached detailed Office acti	•		ceived.				
0001	stading dotained office dot		copico not rot					
Attachment(s)								
	References Cited (PTO-892)			mary (PTO-413)				
				ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	, ,				

Application Number: 10/773,893 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

Claim Objections

1. Claims 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 11 depends on a subsequent claim 12. Claim 12 cannot depend on itself. Should claims 11 and 12 depend on claim 1 instead? Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 recites the limitation "lamda" in line 2. Examiner cannot determine what "lamda" is. Does applicant refer to "lamda" as wavelength? If so, "lamda" should be specified in the claim accordingly. Claim 9 is rejected for the same reason. Correction required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Moore (US 4,825,223).

Art Unit: 2821

Moore (Figures 1-2) teaches a reflective assembly comprising a first reflective surface 10; a plurality of reflective surfaces 12, 14, 16 positioned successively adjacent the first reflective surface, wherein each reflective surface is configured to reflect incident radiation inphase such that microwave signals reflected by each reflective surface arrive at a common focal point in-phase (abstract).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1, 3-8, 10 and 13-18 are allowed.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Regarding claim 1, Moore discloses a reflective assembly comprising a first reflective surface; a plurality of reflective surfaces positioned successively adjacent the first reflective surface, each reflective surface having a focal point and focal length relative to the first reflective surface. Moore, however, fails to specifically teach that wherein one or more of the reflective surfaces are translated about one or more common axes, resulting in an offset of the focal point of one or more of the reflective surfaces relative to that of the first reflective surface.

Claims 3-8, 10 and 13-18 are allowed for being dependent upon claim 1.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patents 5,606,334 and 6,281,852 teach an antenna assembly comprising a plurality of reflective surfaces disposed adjacent and parallel to each other.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang V. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1825. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays-Fridays from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Art Unit: 2821

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoang Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1825. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

10. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hvn 4/8/05

HOANG V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER